


The experience and dedication you deserve

Sheriffs' Retirement System of the State of Montana



Actuarial Valuation As of June 30, 2019





The experience and dedication you deserve

October 10, 2019

Public Employees' Retirement Board 100 North Park, Suite 200 Helena, MT 59620-0139

Members of the Board:

In this report are submitted the results of the annual valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Sheriffs' Retirement System of Montana of the State of Montana (SRS), prepared as of June 30, 2019.

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of the funded status of the System as of June 30, 2019. While not verifying the data at source, the actuary performed tests for consistency and reasonability. The valuation indicates that the statutory contribution rate is sufficient to amortize the unfunded accrued liability within 21 years. The asset values used to determine unfunded liabilities are not market values but less volatile market related values. A smoothing technique is applied to market values to determine the market related values. The unfunded liability amounts using the market value of assets would be different. The interest rate used for determining liabilities is based on the expected return on assets. Therefore, liability amounts in the report cannot be used to assess a settlement of the obligation.

The promised benefits of the System are included in the actuarially calculated contribution rates, which are developed using the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. Four-year market related value of assets is used for actuarial valuation purposes. Gains and losses are reflected in the unfunded accrued liability that is being amortized by regular annual contributions as a level percentage of payroll, on the assumption that payroll will increase by 3.50% annually. The assumptions recommended by the actuary and adopted by the Board are, in the aggregate, reasonably related to the experience under the Fund and to reasonable expectations of anticipated experience under the Fund.

This is to certify that Todd Green, President, and Beverly Bailey, Senior Actuary, for Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. This also certifies that the undersigned have experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems, that the valuation was prepared in accordance with principles of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board, and that the actuarial calculations were performed by qualified actuaries in accordance with accepted actuarial procedures, based on the current provisions of the retirement system and on actuarial assumptions that are internally consistent and reasonably based on the actual experience of the System.

October 10, 2019 Public Employees' Retirement Board Page 2



Future actuarial results may differ significantly from the current results presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Since the potential impact of such factors is outside the scope of a normal annual actuarial valuation, an analysis of the range of results is not presented herein.

The Table of Contents, which immediately follows, outlines the material contained in the report.

Respectfully submitted,

I odel B G

Todd B. Green, ASA, FCA, MAAA President

TBG:bvb

Beverly V. Bailey, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA Senior Actuary

Bevuly Bailey



Sheriffs' Retirement System State of Montana

Table of Contents

Section 1:	Summary of Results	1
Section 2:	Assets	8
Table 1:	Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	
Table 2:	Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	10
Table 3:	Determination of Actuarial Value of Net Position	
Table 4:	Historical Investment Returns	
Table 5:	Market Value of Assets vs Actuarial Value of Assets	13
Section 3:	Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits	14
Table 6:	Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits for Actives, Retirees, and	
	Beneficiaries	15
Section 4:	Employer Contributions	16
Table 7:	Normal Cost Contribution Rates as Percentage of Salary	
Table 8:	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Section 5:	Cash Flows	20
Table 9:	Cash Flow History	
Section 6:	Actuarial Gains or Losses	22
Table 10:	Analysis of Actuarial Gains or Losses	
Table 11:	Historical Actuarial Gains or Losses	24
Section 7:	Risk Considerations	25
Appendix A	a: Actuarial Procedures and Methods	30
	s: Summary of Valuation Assumptions	
	S: Summary of Benefit Provisions	
): Valuation Data	
	: Comparative Schedules	
	: Financial Statement Information	
Appendix (6: Glossary	64



For convenience of reference, the principal results of the valuation and a comparison with the preceding year's results are summarized below:

,	June 30, 2019	,	June 30, 2018
	1,454 697 29 135 633		1,429 654 27 129 539
\$ \$	2,948 80,461,048 55,338	\$ \$	2,778 77,587,294 54,295
\$	20,332,011	\$	18,520,545
\$ \$ \$	377,386,901 377,222,848 462,697,753 85,310,852	\$ \$ \$	353,904,349 358,880,360 436,715,156 82,810,807
	81.56% 5.70%		81.04% 8.83%
	23 610%		23.610%
	15.800% 10.495% 5.305%		15.940% 10.495% 5.445%
	5.305% 0.160% <u>7.650%</u> 13.115% 21 Years		5.445% 0.230% <u>7.440%</u> 13.115% 21 Years
JAAL o	5.305% 0.160% <u>6.051%</u> 11.516%		5.445% 0.230% <u>6.023%</u> 11.698% (1.417)%
	\$\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	697 29 135 633 2,948 \$ 80,461,048 \$ 55,338 \$ 20,332,011 \$ 377,386,901 377,222,848 \$ 462,697,753 \$ 85,310,852 81.56% 5.70% 23.610% 15.800% 10.495% 5.305% 0.160% 7.650% 13.115% 21 Years JAAL over 30 Years 5.305% 0.160% 6.051%	1,454 697 29 135 633 2,948 \$ 80,461,048 \$ \$ 55,338 \$ \$ 20,332,011 \$ \$ 377,386,901 \$ 377,222,848 \$ 462,697,753 \$ \$ 85,310,852 \$ 81.56% 5.70% 23.610% 15.800% 10.495% 5.305% 0.160% 7.650% 13.115% 21 Years JAAL over 30 Years 5.305% 0.160% 6.051% 11.516%

^{*} Based on PERB categorization for the annual report. For actuarial purposes, 46 members in 2018 and 46 members in 2019 were valued as disabled members with offsetting reductions to the number of retired members.

A reconciliation between participant counts used for the annual report and counts for the valuation appears at the beginning of Appendix D.

CM

Section I: Summary of Results

As a result of this actuarial valuation of the benefits in effect under the Sheriffs' Retirement System as of June 30, 2019, the statutory employer contributions are sufficient to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) of the Retirement System within 21 years. The Funded Ratio is 81.56% on an actuarial value of assets basis.

Calculations based on the Market Value of Assets

MCA 19-2-407 requires this report to show how market performance is affecting the actuarial funding of the Retirement System. The June 30, 2019 market value of assets is \$164,053 less than the actuarial value of assets. This is due to the smoothing of investment gains and losses over a four-year period. If the market value of assets was used, the amortization period would be 21 years, and the Funded Ratio would be 81.53%.

Additional Details

MCA 19-7 sets the employer contribution at 13.115% of salary and the employee contribution at 10.495% for actives.

The actuarial costs are calculated using the entry age actuarial cost method. This is the method used by most public plans. It is designed to provide a stable contribution rate as a percent of member pay. This actuarial valuation measures the adequacy of the contribution rates set in Montana State Law.

Investment Experience

The market assets earned 5.70% net of investment and operating expenses. As a result of prior year's unrecognized losses, the actuarial assets earned 7.24%, which is 0.41% less than the expected return of 7.65%. The return on the actuarial assets differs from the return on market assets because the actuarial value of assets spreads gains and losses over four years. The chart below shows the annual returns for the past ten years.

Year	Market Return	Actuarial Return	Assumed Investment Return	Market Return over Assumption	Actuarial Return over Assumption
7/1/2009 to 6/30/2010	12.65%	(0.92)%	7.75%	4.90%	(8.67)%
7/1/2010 to 6/30/2011	21.57	0.65	7.75	13.82	(7.10)
7/1/2011 to 6/30/2012	2.32	3.82	7.75	(5.43)	(3.93)
7/1/2012 to 6/30/2013	12.88	11.57	7.75	5.13	3.82
7/1/2013 to 6/30/2014	17.08	12.96	7.75	9.33	5.21
7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015	4.60	9.60	7.75	(3.15)	1.85
7/1/2015 to 6/30/2016	2.06	8.66	7.75	(5.69)	0.91
7/1/2016 to 6/30/2017	11.95	8.23	7.75	4.20	0.48
7/1/2017 to 6/30/2018	8.83	6.92	7.65	1.18	(0.73)
7/1/2018 to 6/30/2019	5.70	7.24	7.65	(1.95)	(0.41)

Asset gains or losses result when the return on the actuarial value of assets differs from the assumed actuarial investment return.

Amortization of the UAAL

The statutory contributions are sufficient to amortize the UAAL over a 21 year period as of June 30, 2019.

CM

Section I: Summary of Results

Funding and Benefits Policy

The Montana Public Employees' Retirement Board has adopted a Funding and Benefits Policy to provide general guidelines to help ensure decisions are made based on sound, consistent, and thoroughly examined criteria. The Funding and Benefits Policy includes guidance on the following topics:

1) Funding Requirement

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states:
 - 1. The Entry Age Normal Cost Method shall be applied to the projected benefits in determining the Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability.
 - 2. Asset smoothing can be used in the valuation process to spread the recognition of investment gains and losses over a four-year period.
 - 3. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability should be amortized over a reasonable period of time and should not exceed 30 years on a rolling basis. Generally, the funding period should be constant or decreasing.
- b) Analysis: The liabilities of the System are determined using the Entry Age Normal Cost Method and are compared to the actuarial value of assets, which are developed using assets smoothing that recognizes gains and losses over a four-year period. The contributions provided for in statute are sufficient to fully amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability within 30 years.

2) Funding Objectives

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states: "The primary objectives are to: 1) ensure that the systems are financially sound and pay all benefits promised using assets accumulated from required employer and member contributions and investment income; and 2) achieve a well-funded status with a range of safety to absorb market volatility without creating a UAL."
- b) Analysis: The contributions provided for in the statute are sufficient to fully amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability within 30 years. The employer and employee contributions provided for in statute to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability within a 21-year period. This ensures the System is financially sound and will be able to pay all promised benefit and achieve a well-funded status with a range of safety to absorb market volatility without creating a UAL.

3) Benefit Enhancements

- a) The Funding and Benefits Policy states: "Proposals must provide funding from sources sufficient to cover future costs. Unfunded liabilities created by the proposal must be amortized over a period of time appropriate to the retirement system, but not more than 30 years."
- b) Analysis: Without the supplemental funding, a benefit enhancement would increase the amortization period of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability and further delay the goal of achieving a well-funded status with a range of safety to absorb market volatility without creating a UAL.



Sensitivity to Future Experience

The valuation results are projections based on the actuarial assumptions. Actual experience will differ from these assumptions, either increasing or decreasing the ultimate cost. The following illustrations provide simple analyses on how the costs are sensitive to changes in the assumed rate of return.

<u>Investment Return</u> – The investment return generally has the largest impact on the funding of the System.

Impact of A	Assuming 1.00% I	Lower Investment Re	turn
Current Assumption 7.65% Lower Assumption 6.65% Increase / (Decrease)	Funded Ratio 81.56% 71.52% (10.04)%	Amortization Period 21 Years Does Not Amortize N/A	Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution* (Millions \$) \$10.7 17.2 \$6.5
Impact of A	Assuming 0.50% I	Lower Investment Re	turn
Current Assumption 7.65% Lower Assumption 7.15% Increase / (Decrease)	Funded Ratio 81.56% 76.47% (5.09)%	Amortization Period 21 Years 54 Years 33 Years	Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution* (Millions \$) \$10.7 13.7 \$3.0
Impact of A	Assuming 0.50% I	Higher Investment Re	turn
Current Assumption 7.65% Higher Assumption 8.15% Increase / (Decrease)	Funded Ratio 81.56% 86.80% 5.24%	Amortization Period 21 Years 10 Years (11) Years	Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution* (Millions \$) \$10.7 7.5 (\$3.2)
Impact of A	Assuming 1.00% I	Higher Investment Re	
Current Assumption 7.65% Higher Assumption 8.65% Increase / (Decrease)	Funded Ratio 81.56% 92.16% 10.60%	Amortization Period 21 Years 5 Years (16) Years	Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution* (Millions \$) \$10.7 4.7 (\$6.0)

^{*} Amounts reflect estimated increase/(decrease) in FY2020 employer contributions in order to maintain the 21 year amortization period.



The future funding status of the System will be determined by the System's experience. The System's actual asset returns and retirement rates, as well as member longevity, salary increases, withdrawal rates, disability rates and future legislation will all impact the funding status of the System. The entry age normal cost method and four year smoothing of asset gains and losses will help to provide a more orderly funding of the System's liabilities, but will not change the actual experience. The amortization period of the UAAL is not likely to decrease by the expected 1.0 year with each passing actuarial valuation. Instead, the amortization period is expected to decrease more or less than 1.0 years each year, reflecting gains and losses due to experience different than the actuarial assumptions.

Assumption Changes

There have been no assumption changes since the previous valuation.

Benefit Changes

There have been no benefit changes since the previous valuation.

Contribution Changes

There have been no contribution changes since the previous valuation.

Method Changes

There have been no method changes since the previous valuation.



Impact of Changes

The following table summarizes how experience has changed the UAAL since the June 30, 2018 Actuarial Valuation. Further detail can be found in Table 10 and Table 11.

Changes in the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)

June 30, 2018 Valuation UAAL	\$82,810,807
Normal Cost (Including Expenses)	11,625,321
Contributions	(19,188,494)
Interest	6,490,403
Expected June 30, 2019 UAAL	\$81,738,037
Experience (Gain) / Loss on Actuarial Liabilities	\$2,114,194
Experience (Gain) / Loss on Actuarial Assets	1,458,621
Assumption & Method Changes	0
Plan Changes	0
Total (Gain) / Loss	\$3,572,815
June 30, 2019 Valuation UAAL	\$85,310,852

CM

Section I: Summary of Results

Summary

- * The System's actuarial value investment return of 7.24% for the year ended June 30, 2019 is 0.41% less than the expected return of 7.65%. This represents an asset loss of \$1,458,621 due to investment return less than anticipated. As of June 30, 2019, the market value of assets was \$377,222,848. As of June 30, 2019, the actuarial value of assets was \$377,386,901. The June 30, 2019 market value of assets will be recognized in future actuarial valuations unless it is offset by returns less than the 7.65% assumption.
- * As of June 30, 2019, the amortization period of the UAAL is 21 years. The ultimate goal of the Board's Funding and Benefits Policy is to increase the funded status to a level such that the amortization period is below 30 years. The System is currently being funded within the parameters defined by the Board.
- * The funding of the retirement system will be impacted by future experience which will sometimes be more favorable than the actuarial assumptions and sometimes less favorable. In particular, investment returns larger and smaller than the 7.65% assumption are expected to have significant impacts on the System's funding progress. In the long term, the favorable experience is needed to offset the less favorable experience. This is the reason for using an actuarial value of assets that allows gains and losses to be smoothed over four years.
- * The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized using a level percentage of payroll method over the amortization period. Under the level percentage of payroll method, amortization payments will not be large enough to cover interest on the UAL in the beginning of the amortization schedule, which means that as a dollar amount the UAL is expected to grow. After a period of time, amortization payments will be large enough that the amortization payments will cover both interest and principal, and the UAL as a dollar amount will be projected to decrease in each subsequent year. The payroll growth assumption is used to determine the percentage of payroll required over the remaining amortization period to fully amortize the unfunded liability. The payroll growth assumption is 3.50%.



Assets

In many respects, an actuarial valuation can be regarded as an inventory process. The inventory is taken as of the actuarial valuation date, which for this valuation is June 30, 2019. On that date, the assets available for the payment of benefits are appraised. These assets are compared with the actuarial liabilities. The actuarial process thus leads to a method of determining what contributions by members and their employers are needed to strike a balance.

The asset valuation method being used is a four-year smoothing method. The expected return is determined each year based on the beginning of year market value and actual cash flows during the year. Any difference between the expected market value return and the actual market value return is recognized evenly over a period of four years.

Table 1 lists the assets held and their market value for the past two years. Table 2 summarizes the fund's activity during the past two years. Table 3 summarizes the determination of the actuarial value of assets. Table 4 summarizes historical asset returns for the last 10 years including the amount recognized by the actuarial asset valuation method which was greater or less than the actuarial investment return assumption. Table 5 summarizes the historical asset values on a market value and actuarial value basis, to the extent it was available. Additional data can be included in this table for future reports, if provided by the System.



Table 1: Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Fiscal Year Ended June 30

		2019		2018
ASSETS				
Cash and Short Term Investments	\$	11,701,566	\$	7,338,080
Securities Lending Collateral	\$	1,734,601	\$	2,284,201
Receivables:				
Interest Receivable	\$	23,531	\$	13,205
Accounts Receivable		375,303		466,280
Due from Other Funds		-		-
Due from Primary Government		-		-
Notes Receivable				
Total Receivables	\$	398,834	\$	479,485
Investments, at fair value:				
Investment Pools		364,914,804		350,935,023
Other Investments		-		-
Total Investments	\$	364,914,804	\$	350,935,023
Capital Assets				
Property and Equipment, at cost,				
net of Accumulated Depreciation	\$	366	\$	366
Intangible Assets, at cost,				
net of Amortization Expense		356,441		410,443
Total Capital Assets	\$	356,807	\$	410,809
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	379,106,612	\$	361,447,598
LIABILITIES				_
Securities Lending Liability	\$	1,734,601	\$	2,284,201
Accounts Payable	•	15,560	,	100,086
Unearned Revenue		3,664		2,677
Due to Other Funds		129,939		180,274
Compensated Absences		-		-
OPEB Implicit Rate Subsidy LT		-		-
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$	1,883,764	\$	2,567,238
NET POSITION - RESTRICTED				
FOR PENSION BENEFITS	\$	377,222,848	\$	358,880,360
			_	



Table 2: Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Fiscal Year Ended June 30

		2019	2018
ADDITIONS			
Contributions:			
Employer	\$	10,572,559	\$ 10,366,141
Plan Member		8,615,935	8,469,282
Other			 -
Total Contributions	\$	19,188,494	\$ 18,835,423
Misc. Income	\$	-	\$ -
Investment Income:			
Net Appreciation/(Depreciation)			
in Fair Value of Investments	\$	21,552,166	\$ 30,991,030
Investment Earnings		1,065,843	285,051
Security Lending Income		91,523	 67,916
Investment Income/(Loss)	\$	22,709,532	\$ 31,343,997
Investment Expense		(2,267,168)	(2,159,057
Security Lending Expense		(45,929)	 (27,102
Net Investment Income/(Loss)	\$	20,396,435	\$ 29,157,838
Total Additions	\$	39,584,929	\$ 47,993,261
DEDUCTIONS			
Benefit Payments	\$	19,512,312	\$ 18,052,544
Refunds/Distributions		1,438,814	1,490,014
Refunds to Other Plans		44,792	64,184
Transfers to DCRP		-	-
Transfers to MUS-RP		-	-
OPEB Expense		-	-
Administrative Expense		246,523	432,091
Total Deductions	\$	21,242,441	\$ 20,038,833
NET INCREASE (DECREASE)			
IN PLAN NET ASSETS	\$	18,342,488	\$ 27,954,428
NET POSITION - RESTRICTED			
FOR PENSION BENEFITS	_	050 000 000	000 040 455
BEGINNING OF YEAR	\$	358,880,360	\$ 330,910,169
ADJUSTMENT		-	15,763
END OF YEAR	\$	377,222,848	\$ 358,880,360



Table 3: Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets

Valuation Date June 30:	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
A. Actuarial Value Beginning of Year	\$ 332,169,329	\$ 353,904,349			
B. Market Value End of Year	358,880,360	377,222,848			
C. Market Value of Beginning of Year	330,910,169	358,880,360			
D. Cash Flow					
D1. Contributions D2. Benefit Payments D3. Administrative Expenses D4. Investment Expenses D5. Net	\$ 18,835,423 (19,606,742) (432,091) (2,186,159) (3,389,569)	\$ 19,188,494 (20,995,918) (246,523) (2,313,097) (4,367,044)			
E. Investment Income					
 E1. Market Total: B C D5. E2. Assumed Rate E3. Amount for Immediate Recognition	\$ 31,359,760 7.65% 27,454,756 3,905,004	\$ 22,709,532 7.65% 29,688,881 (6,979,349)			
F. Phased-In Recognition of Investment Income					
 F1. Current Year: 0.25 * E4. F2. First Prior Year F3. Second Prior Year F4. Third Prior Year F5. Total Recognized Investment Gain 	\$ 976,251 3,117,957 (4,188,656) (2,235,719) (2,330,167)	\$ (1,744,837) 976,251 3,117,957 (4,188,656) (1,839,285)	\$ (1,744,837) 976,251 3,117,957 2,349,371	\$ (1,744,837) 976,251 (768,586)	\$ (1,744,837) (1,744,837)
G. Actuarial Value End of Year A. + D5. + E3. + F5.	\$ 353,904,349	\$ 377,386,901			




Table 4: Historical Investment Returns*

Fiscal Year Ending	Market Returns	Actuarial Returns	Assumed Return	Actuarial Return Over Assumption
June 30, 2010	12.65%	(0.92)%	7.75%	(8.67)%
June 30, 2011	21.57%	0.65%	7.75%	(7.10)%
June 30, 2012	2.32%	3.82%	7.75%	(3.93)%
June 30, 2013	12.88%	11.57%	7.75%	3.82%
June 30, 2014	17.08%	12.96%	7.75%	5.21%
June 30, 2015	4.60%	9.60%	7.75%	1.85%
June 30, 2016	2.06%	8.66%	7.75%	0.91%
June 30, 2017	11.95%	8.23%	7.75%	0.48%
June 30, 2018	8.83%	6.92%	7.65%	(0.73)%
June 30, 2019	5.70%	7.24%	7.65%	(0.41)%
10 Year Average	9.80%	6.79%		(0.95)%

^{*} Returns reflect all investment returns, including investment income and realized and unrealized investment gains and losses, and are net of investment expenses and administrative expenses paid by the System.



Table 5: Market Value of Assets vs. Actuarial Value of Assets





Section III: Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits

Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits

In the previous section, an actuarial valuation was related to an inventory process, and an analysis was given of the inventory of assets of the System as of the valuation date. In this section, the discussion will focus on the commitments of the System, which will be referred to as its actuarial liabilities.

Table 6 contains an analysis of the actuarial present value of all future benefits for active members, for retirees, and for beneficiaries. The analysis is given by type of benefit.

The actuarial liabilities summarized in Table 6 include the actuarial present value of all future benefits expected to be paid with respect to each member covered as of the valuation date. For an active member, this value includes a measure of both benefits already earned and future benefits to be earned. Thus, for all members, active and retired, the value extends over benefits earnable and payable for the rest of their lives and, if an optional benefit is chosen, for the lives of their surviving beneficiaries.

The actuarial valuation does not recognize liabilities for employees who become members and participate in the System after the valuation date.



Table 6: Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits for Actives, Retirees, and Beneficiaries

	June 30, 2019 Total		June 30, 2018 Total		
A. Active Members Liability Due to Probab	ility of				
Retirement	\$	217,743,442	\$	215,190,774	
Disability		11,057,795		10,859,341	
In-Service Death		6,915,819		6,842,815	
Termination		27,330,836		26,629,369	
Total	\$	263,047,892	\$	259,522,299	
B. Inactive Members and Annuitants					
Service Retirement	\$	236,716,919	\$	213,972,659	
Disability Retirement		26,332,678		25,271,527	
Beneficiaries*		16,148,844		15,720,596	
Vested Terminated Members		7,181,409		7,484,726	
Refund of Member Contributions		4,306,396		3,858,074	
Total	\$	290,686,246	\$	266,307,582	
C. Grand Total	\$	553,734,138	\$	525,829,881	

^{*} Includes survivors of active and retired members



Employer Contributions

In the previous two sections, attention has been focused on the assets and the present value of all future benefits of the System. A comparison of Tables 3 and 6 indicates that there is a shortfall in current actuarial assets to meet the present value of all future benefits for current members and beneficiaries.

In an active system, there will always be a difference between the assets and the present value of all future benefits. An actuarial valuation sets a schedule of future contributions that will deal with this funding in an orderly fashion.

The method used to determine the incidence of the contributions in various years is called the actuarial cost method. For this valuation, the entry age actuarial cost method has been used. A description of the entry age actuarial cost method is provided in Appendix A. Under this method, or essentially any actuarial cost method, the contributions required to meet the difference between current assets and the present value of all future benefits are allocated each year between three elements:

- A normal cost amount, which ideally is relatively stable as a percentage of salary over the years;
- A load for administrative expenses; and
- An amount which is used to amortize the UAAL.

The two items described above, normal cost and UAAL, are the keys to understanding the actuarial cost method. Let us first discuss the normal cost.

The normal cost is the theoretical contribution rate, which will meet the ongoing costs of a group of average new employees. Suppose that a group of new employees were covered under a separate fund from which all benefits and to which all contributions and associated investment return were to be paid. Under the entry age actuarial cost method, the normal cost contribution rate is that level percentage of pay which would be exactly right to maintain this fund on a stable basis. If experience were to follow the actuarial assumptions exactly, the fund would be completely liquidated with the last payment to the last survivor of the group.

The assumed investment rate of return is 7.65%, net of investment expenses only. As a result, the actuarially determined contribution must include an amount for administrative expenses expected to occur during the year.

We have determined the normal cost rates separately by type of benefit under the System. These are summarized in Table 7. In Table 7 we also provide a summary of the member and employer statutory contributions.

The term "fully funded" is often applied to a system where contributions for everyone at the normal cost rate will fully pay for the benefits of existing as well as new employees. Often, systems are not fully funded, either because of benefit improvements in the past that have not been completely paid for or actuarial deficiencies that have occurred because experience has not been as anticipated. Under these circumstances, a UAAL exists.



Section IV: Employer Contributions

Table 8 shows how the UAAL was derived for the System. Lines A and B show, respectively, the total present value of future benefits and the portion of the future liability that is expected to be paid from future normal cost contributions, both employer and employee. The future normal cost contributions are the portion of the present value of future benefits that are attributed to future years of service that have not been earned yet by the active membership. Line C shows the actuarial accrued liability. Line D shows the amount of assets available for benefits. Line E shows the UAAL.

The UAAL at any date after establishment of a system is affected by any actuarial gains or losses arising when the actual experience of the system varies from the experience anticipated by the actuarial assumptions used in the valuations. To the extent actual experience as it develops differs from the assumptions used, so also will the actual emerging costs differ from the estimated costs. The impact of these differences in actual experience from the assumptions is included in Section 1, the Summary of Results.



Table 7: Normal Cost Contribution Rates As Percentages of Salary

	June 30, 2019 Total	June 30, 2018 Total
Service retirement	9.730%	9.800%
Disability retirement	1.340%	1.360%
In Service Death	0.450%	0.460%
Termination	4.280%	4.320%
Total Normal Rate	15.800%	15.940%
Employee Normal Rate	10.495%	10.495%
Employer Normal Rate	5.305%	5.445%
Administrative Expense Load	0.160%	0.230%
Rate Available to Amortize Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	7.650%	7.440%
Statutory Funding Rate	23.610%	23.610%

Note: The normal cost rate for members hired on or after July 1, 2011 is 15.07%.



Table 8: Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

	Jı	une 30, 2019	J	une 30, 2018
A. Actuarial present value of all future benefits for active members, retirees and beneficiaries (Table 6)	\$	553,734,138	\$	525,829,881
B. Less actuarial present value of total future normal costs for present members	\$	91,036,385	\$	89,114,725
C. Actuarial accrued liability	\$	462,697,753	\$	436,715,156
D. Less assets available for benefits	\$	377,386,901	\$	353,904,349
E. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability	\$	85,310,852	\$	82,810,807



Cash Flows


The fundamental equation for funding a retirement system is that benefits and administrative expenses must be provided for by contributions (past and future) and investment income. When a retirement system matures, benefits and administrative expenses often exceed contributions. In this case we say the system has a "negative cash flow." Mature systems are characterized by negative cash flows and large pools of assets. This is natural. Actuarial funding is designed to accumulate large pools of assets which will in turn provide investment income and finance negative cash flows when systems mature. If the fund is looked at as a whole, investment income is usually larger than the difference between contributions and benefit payments. The retirement system's investment strategy should maximize potential returns at a prudent level of risk while providing for needed cash flows.

Table 9 shows the System had a positive cash flow for the year ended June 30, 2019. The System's total cash flow including benefit payments, administrative expenses and investment earnings was \$18.4 million. Of the \$18.4 million, \$20.4 million was due to investment returns.

As long as the System had a positive cash flow, there was no need to plan where the funds would come from to pay benefits since benefits could be paid by incoming contributions. A negative cash flow, as defined above, requires planning what funds will be used to pay the difference between benefits and contributions.



Table 9: Cash Flow History (Dollar amounts in millions)



		Historical Cash Flows						
Year		Benefits &						
Ended			Admir	nistrative		Investment	Net	t Cash
June 30	Co	ntributions	Exp	enses		<u>Income</u>	<u> </u>	low
2010	\$	11.0	\$	9.3	\$	19.5	\$	21.2
2011		11.8		10.3		37.5		39.1
2012		11.7		11.9		5.1		5.0
2013		12.1		13.1		28.2		27.2
2014		13.1		14.1		41.8		40.8
2015		13.5		15.5		13.0		11.0
2016		14.3		16.9		6.1		3.5
2017		14.8		18.5		35.5		31.8
2018		18.8		20.0		29.2		28.0
2019		19.2		21.2		20.4		18.4



Actuarial Gains or Losses

An analysis of actuarial gains or losses is performed in conjunction with all regularly scheduled valuations.

The developments of the gains or losses related to the actuarial liability and the assets are shown in Table 10. The results of our analysis of the financial experience of the System in the three most recent regular actuarial valuations are presented in Table 11. Each gain or loss shown represents our estimate of how much the given type of experience caused the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability or Funding Reserve to change in the period since the previous actuarial valuation.

Gains and losses shown due to demographic sources are approximate. Demographic experience is analyzed in greater detail in our periodic experience studies.

Non-recurring gains and losses result from changes in the actuarial assumptions and benefit improvements.



Table 10: Analysis of Actuarial (Gains) or Losses*

A. ACTUARIAL ACCRUED ACTUARIAL LIABILITY (GAIN) / LOSS ANALYSIS

	•	
 Actual Actuarial Actuarial Liab Normal Cost for this Plan Year 		\$ 436,715,156 11,625,321
	, ,	34,298,046
3. Interest on items 1 and 2 [(1+2	•	
4. Benefit Payments for this Plan	Year (Including Expenses):	(21,242,441)
5. Interest on item [4 x 7.65% x .	5]:	(812,523)
6. Expected Actuarial Accrued Li	ability as of June 30, 2019:	\$ 460,583,559
7 Changes due to:		
a. Assumption Changes:		_
b. Plan Amendments:		_
c. Funding Method:		_
d. Actuarial (Gain) / Loss:		\$ 2,114,194
8. Actual Actuarial Accrued Liabil	lity as of June 30, 2019:	\$ 462,697,753
9. Items Affecting Calculation of U	Infunded Accrued Actuarial Liability:	
•	in the unfunded accrued liability (see Appendix C) methods used to determine actuarial accrued liability	
ASSET (GAIN) / LOSS ANALYSIS	S	
, ,		
 Actuarial Value of Assets as o 	f June 30, 2018:	\$ 353,904,349

 Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2018: Interest on item [1 x 7.65%]: Contributions for this Plan Year: Interest on item [3. x 7.65% x .5]: Benefit Payments for this Plan Year (Including Expenses): Interest on item [5. x 7.65% x .5]: Expected Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2019: 	\$ 353,904,349 27,073,683 19,188,494 733,960 (21,242,441) (812,523) \$ 378,845,522
8. Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2019:	377,386,901
9. (Gain) / Loss	\$ 1,458,621

C. UNFUNDED ACCRUED LIABILITY (GAIN) / LOSS ANALYSIS

1.	Actual Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2018:	\$ 82,810,807
2.	Normal Cost for this Plan Year (Including Expenses):	11,625,321
3.	Contributions for this Plan Year:	(19, 188, 494)
4.	Interest	6,490,403
5.	Expected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2019:	\$ 81,738,037
6.	Changes due to:	
	a. Assumption Changes:	-
	b. Plan Amendments:	-
	c. Funding Method:	-
	d. Actuarial (Gain) / Loss:	\$ 3,572,815
7.	Actual Actuarial Accrued Liability as of June 30, 2019:	\$ 85,310,852

^{*} Effects related to gains are shown in parentheses. Numerical results are expressed as a (decrease) increase in the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL). Gains decrease the AAL and losses increase the AAL.

B.



Table 11:
Historical Actuarial (Gains) or Losses*
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

			UAA	L (Gain)/Los	s	
	Ju	ne 30, 2019	Jui	ne 30, 2018	Jı	une 30, 2017
Investment Income Investment income was (greater) less than expected based on actuarial value of assets.	\$	1,458.6	\$	2,426.5	\$	(1,492.4)
Pay Increases Pay increases were (less) greater than expected.	\$	139.8	\$	(165.6)	\$	(2,042.7)
Age & Service Retirements Members retired at (older) younger ages or with (less) greater final average pay than expected	\$	4,117.7	\$	(1,693.8)	\$	2,365.5
Disability Retirements Disability claims were (less) greater than expected	\$	319.0	\$	(31.8)	\$	88.2
Death-in-Service Benefits Survivor claims were (less) greater than expected	\$	(91.1)	\$	(89.4)	\$	(81.9)
Withdrawal From Employment (More) less reserves were released by withdrawals than expected	\$	(354.9)	\$	(180.4)	\$	(388.5)
Death After Retirement Retirees (died younger) lived longer than expected	\$	(2,442.0)	\$	419.6	\$	(35.0)
Data Adjustments and Benefit Payment Timing Service purchases, data corrections, etc.	\$	408.6	\$	4,495.7	\$	3,978.2
Other Miscellaneous (gains) and losses	\$	17.1	\$	(41.1)	\$	(1,395.8)
Total (Gain) or Loss During Period From Financial Experience	\$	3,572.8	\$	5,139.7	\$	995.6
Non-Recurring Items. Changes in actuarial assumptions and methods	\$	_	\$	_	\$	14,306.0
Changes in benefits caused a (gain) loss	\$		\$		\$	(1,332.9)
Composite (Gain) Loss During Period	\$	3,572.8	\$	5,139.7	\$	13,968.7

Section VII: Risk Considerations

Actuarial Standards of Practice are issued by the Actuarial Standards Board and are binding on credentialed actuaries practicing in the United States. These standards generally identify what the actuary should consider, document and disclose when performing an actuarial assignment. In September, 2017, Actuarial Standard of Practice Number 51, Assessment and Disclosure of Risk in Measuring Pension Obligations, (ASOP 51) was issued as final with application to measurement dates on or after November 1, 2018. This ASOP, which applies to funding valuations, actuarial projections, and actuarial cost studies of proposed plan changes, is first applicable for the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation for the System.

A typical retirement plan faces many different risks, but the greatest risk is the inability to make benefit payments when due. If plan assets are depleted, benefits may not be paid which could create legal and litigation risk or the plan could become "pay as you go". The term "risk" is most commonly associated with an outcome with undesirable results. However, in the actuarial world, risk can be translated as uncertainty. The actuarial valuation process uses many actuarial assumptions to project how future contributions and investment returns will meet the cash flow needs for future benefit payments. Of course, we know that actual experience will not unfold exactly as anticipated by the assumptions and that uncertainty, whether favorable or unfavorable, creates risk. ASOP 51 defines risk as the potential of actual future measurements to deviate from expected results due to actual experience that is different than the actuarial assumptions.

The various risk factors for a given plan can have a significant impact – positive or negative – on the actuarial projection of liability and contribution rates.

There are a number of risks inherent in the funding of a defined benefit plan. These include:

- economic risks, such as investment return and price inflation;
- demographic risks such as mortality, payroll growth, aging population including impact of baby boomers, and retirement ages;
- contribution risk, i.e., the potential for contribution rates to be too high for the plan sponsor/employer to pay and
- external risks such as the regulatory and political environment.

There is a direct correlation between healthy, well-funded retirement plans and contributions sufficient to provide promised benefits. The System is primarily funded by member and employer contributions to the trust fund, together with the earnings on these accumulated contributions. These contributions fund benefit accruals for current active members and administrative expenses. The remainder of the contributions amortizes the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The contribution rates are set by statute and intended to provide the needed amounts to fund the system over time. The purpose of the valuation is to determine if the fixed contributions are sufficient to fund the Plan. Due to the fixed nature of the contributions actuarial gains and losses are reflected in the amortization period. Generally, the largest source of actuarial gains and losses are caused by investment volatility. In addition, the unfunded liability is amortized as a level percentage of pay assuming payroll will grow by 3.50% per year. A key risk factor to the System's funding is that over time, the Statutory Contribution Rates will be insufficient to accumulate enough funds, with investment income, to fund the promised benefits. The funding insufficiency can be caused by amortization periods that are too long or by payroll not growing at the assumed rate.



Section VII: Risk Considerations

The other significant risk factor for the System is investment return because of the volatility of returns and the size of plan assets compared to payroll. This is to be expected, given the underlying capital market assumptions and the System's asset allocation. To the extent market rates of interest affect the expected return on assets, there is a risk of change to the discount rate which determines the present value of liabilities and actuarial valuation results. Please see the summary of results of this report which demonstrates the sensitivity of valuation results to differing discount rates.

A key demographic risk for the Retirement System is improvements in mortality (longevity) greater than anticipated. While the actuarial assumptions reflect a margin for improvement in mortality experience these assumptions are refined every experience study, the risk arises because there is a possibility of some sudden shift, perhaps from a significant medical breakthrough that could quickly increase liabilities. Likewise, there is some possibility of a significant public health crisis that could result in a significant number of additional deaths in a short time period, which would also be significant, although more easily absorbed. While either of these events could happen, it represents a small probability and thus represents much less risk than the volatility associated with investment returns.

The exhibits on the following pages summarize some historical information that helps indicate how certain key risk metrics have changed over time. Many are due to the maturing of the retirement system.



Historical Asset Volatility Ratios (in 1,000's)

As a retirement system matures, the size of the market value of assets increases relative to the covered payroll of active members, on which the System is funded. The size of the plan assets relative to covered payroll, sometimes referred to as the asset volatility ratio, is an important indicator of the contribution risk for the System. The higher this ratio, the more sensitive a plan's contribution rate is to investment return volatility. In other words, it will be harder to recover from investment losses with increased contributions.

Actuarial Valuation Date	Market Value of Assets	Estimated Plan Year Payroll	Asset Volatility Ratio
6/30/2015	295,695	67,881	4.36
6/30/2016	299,152	70,593	4.24
6/30/2017	330,910	74,581	4.44
6/30/2018	358,880	77,587	4.63
6/30/2019	377,223	80,461	4.69

The assets at June 30, 2019 are 469% of payroll, so underperforming the investment return assumption by 1.00% (i.e., earn 6.65% for one year) is equivalent to 4.69% of payroll. While the actual impact in the first year is mitigated by the asset smoothing method and amortization of the UAL, this illustrates the risk associated with volatile investment returns.



Historical Cash Flows (in 1,000's)

Plans with negative cash flows will experience increased sensitivity to investment return volatility. Cash flows, for this purpose, are measured as contributions less benefit payments and administrative expenses. If the System has negative cash flows and then experiences returns below the assumed rate, there are fewer assets to be reinvested to earn the higher returns that typically follow. While any negative cash flow will produce such a result, it is typically a negative cash flow of more than 5% of MVA that may cause significant concerns. The System has negative cash flows which ranged from 0.54% to 1.13% for the prior five years, so it should be closely monitored.

	Market Value				Net Cash Flow
	of Assets		Benefit	Net	as a Percent
Year End	(MVA)	Contributions	Payments	Cash Flow	of MVA
6/30/2015	295,695	13,526	15,528	(2,003)	(0.68%)
6/30/2016	299,152	14,299	16,903	(2,604)	(0.87%)
6/30/2017	330,910	14,751	18,503	(3,753)	(1.13%)
6/30/2018	358,880	18,835	20,039	(1,203)	(0.34%)
6/30/2019	377,223	19,188	21,242	(2,054)	(0.54%)



Liability Maturity Measurement

Most public sector retirement systems have been in operation for many years. As a result, they have aging plan populations, and in some cases declining active populations, resulting in an increasing ratio of retirees to active members and a growing percentage of retiree liability. The retirement of the remaining baby boomers over the next decade is expected to further exacerbate the aging of the retirement system population. Retiree liability as a percentage of the total actuarial accrued liability has been growing over the last five years. As more of the total liability begins to reside with retirees, investment volatility has a greater impact on the funding of the system since it is more difficult to restore the system financially after losses occur when there is comparatively less payroll over which to spread costs. Below are two tables which demonstrate the ratio of the System's retiree liability compared to the total accrued liability and the ratio of the number of retirees and beneficiaries to the number of active members.

Year End	Retiree Liability (a)	Total Actuarial Accrued Liability (b)	Retiree Percentage (a) / (b)	
6/30/2015	200,213,973	348,912,406	57.4%	
6/30/2016	220,932,031	373,146,158	59.2%	
6/30/2017	248,802,189	411,386,604	60.5%	
6/30/2018	266,307,582	436,715,156	61.0%	
6/30/2019	290,686,246	462,697,753	62.8%	

Historical Member Statistics

Valuation Date June 30,		Num	Active/		
		Active	Retired	Retired	
	2015	1,336	577	2.32	
	2016	1,364	620	2.20	
	2017	1,415	648	2.18	
	2018	1,429	681	2.10	
	2019	1,454	726	2.00	



Appendix A: Actuarial Procedures and Methods

The assumptions and methods utilized in the valuation were developed in the six-year experience study for the period ending June 30, 2016.

Tables B-1 through B-7 give rates of decrement for service retirement, disablement, mortality, and other terminations of employment.

Actuarial Cost Method

The actuarial valuation was prepared using the entry age actuarial cost method. Under this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in the valuation is allocated as a level percentage of the individual's projected compensation between entry age and assumed exit. The portion of this actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the normal cost. The normal cost was first calculated for each individual member. The normal cost rate is defined to equal the total of the individual normal costs, divided by the total pay rate.

The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the sum of (a) the actuarial value of the assets and (b) the actuarial present value of future normal costs is called the UAAL. The UAAL is amortized as a level percentage of the projected salaries of present and future members of the System.

Records and Data

The data used in the valuation consist of financial information; records of age, sex, service, salary, contribution rates, and account balances of contributing members; and records of age, sex, and amount of benefit for retired members and beneficiaries. All of the data was supplied by the System and has been accepted for valuation purposes without audit.

Replacement of Terminated Members

The ages at entry and distribution by sex of future members are assumed to average the same as those of the present members they replace. If the number of active members should increase, it is further assumed that the average entry age of the larger group will be the same, from an actuarial standpoint, as that of the present group. Under these assumptions, the normal cost rates for active members will not vary with the termination of present members.

Administrative and Investment Expenses

The investment expenses of the System are assumed to be funded by investment earnings in excess of 7.65% per year.

Administrative expenses are assumed to equal 0.16% of payroll.

Appendix A: Actuarial Procedures and Methods

Valuation of Assets

The actuarial asset valuation method spreads asset gains and losses over four years. The expected return is determined each year based on the beginning of year market value and actual cash flows during the year. Any difference between the expected market value return and the actual market value return is recognized evenly over a period of four years.

Investment Earnings

The annual rate of investment earnings of the assets of the System is assumed to be 7.65% per year net of investment expenses, compounded annually.

Interest on Member Contributions

Interest on member contributions is assumed to accrue at a rate of 2.75% per annum, compounded annually.

Future Salaries

The rates of annual salary increase assumed for the purpose of the valuation are illustrated in Table B-2. In addition to increases in salary due to merit and longevity, this scale includes an assumed 3.50% annual rate of increase in the general wage level of the membership.

Service Retirement

Table B-3 shows the annual assumed rates of retirement for actives members meeting the service retirement eligibilities.

Disablement

The rates of disablement used in this valuation are illustrated in Table B-4.

Mortality

The mortality rates used in this valuation are illustrated in Table B-5. A written description of each table used is included in Table B-1.

Other Terminations of Employment

The rates of assumed future withdrawal from active service for reasons other than death, disability or retirement are shown for representative ages in Table B-6.

Appendix A: Actuarial Procedures and Methods

Benefits for Terminating Members

Members terminating with less than five years of service are assumed to request an immediate withdrawal of their contributions with interest. Table B-7 shows the assumed probability of retaining membership in the System among members terminating with five or more years of service.

We estimated the present value of future benefits for terminated vested members based on the greater of the present value of their deferred benefit at age 60 or their available contribution account.

Probability of Marriage & Dependent Children

If death occurs in active status, all members are assumed to have an eligible surviving spouse with no dependent children. Female spouses are assumed to be three years younger than males.

Records with no Birth Date

New records with no birth date are assumed to be 37 years old. Records that are not new and have no birth date used the same birth date as the prior year's valuation.

Active Records with a Salary Less than \$1,000

These members are included in the active headcounts, however the pay of these members is not included in the Valuation Projected Salaries summarized in Appendix D. The liability for these members is their accumulated member contributions payable on the valuation date.



Table B-1

Summary of Valuation Assumptions

I.	Ec	onomic assumptions	
	A.	General wage increases	3.50%
	B.	Investment return	7.65%
	C.	Price inflation assumption	2.75%
	D.	Growth in membership	0.00%
	E.	Interest on member accounts	2.75%
	F.	Administrative Expenses as a percentage of payroll	0.16%
II.	De	mographic assumptions	
	A.	Individual salary increase due to promotion and longevity	Table B-2
	B.	Retirement	Table B-3
	C.	Disablement	Table B-4
	D.	Mortality among contributing members, service retired members, and beneficiaries. The tables include margins for mortality improvement which is expected to occur in the future.	Table B-5
		For Males and Females: RP 2000 Combined Employee and Annuitant Mortality Table projected to 2020 using Scale BB, set back one year for males.	
	E.	Mortality among disabled members	Table B-5
		For Males and Females: RP 2000 Combined Mortality Table.	
	F.	Other terminations of employment	Table B-6
	G.	Probability of retaining membership in the System upon vested termination	Table B-7



Table B-2
Future Salaries

	(a)	(b)	(1+(a))*(1+(b))
Years of Service	Individual Merit & Longevity	General Wage Increase	Total Salary Increase
	0.000/	0.500/	40.000/
1	6.30%	3.50%	10.02%
2	4.70	3.50	8.36
3	3.50	3.50	7.12
4	2.70	3.50	6.29
5	2.00	3.50	5.57
6	1.40	3.50	4.95
7	0.90	3.50	4.43
8	0.50	3.50	4.02
9	0.20	3.50	3.71
10 & Up	0.00	3.50	3.50



Table B-3

Retirement

Annual Rates

Age Less than 50	20 or More Years of Service 10.0%
50	10.0%
51	10.0
52	10.0
53	10.0
54	10.0
55	15.0
56	15.0
57	15.0
58	15.0
59	15.0
60	20.0
61	20.0
62	20.0
63	20.0
64	20.0
65 & Over	100.0

Vested terminations are assumed to retire at their earliest unreduced eligibility.



Table B-4
Disablement
Annual Rates

Age	All Members
22	.00%
27	.10
32	.10
37	.10
42	.40
47	.40
52	.40
57	.40
62	.00

75% of disabilities are assumed to be duty-related. All disabilities are assumed to be permanent and without recovery.



Table B-5

Mortality

Annual Rates

	Contributing Men Retired Men Benefici	Disabled Members			
Age	Men	Women	Men	Women	
25	0.0354%	0.0195%	0.0376%	0.0207%	
30	0.0388	0.0249	0.0444	0.0264	
35	0.0661	0.0447	0.0773	0.0475	
40	0.0961	0.0665	0.1079	0.0706	
45	0.1316	0.1058	0.1508	0.1124	
50	0.1879	0.1578	0.2138	0.1676	
55	0.3010	0.2458	0.3624	0.2717	
60	0.5271	0.4135	0.6747	0.5055	
65	0.9041	0.7624	1.2737	0.9706	
70	1.4636	1.3151	2.2206	1.6742	
75	2.5057	2.2077	3.7834	2.8106	
80	4.2816	3.6037	6.4368	4.5879	
85	7.3750	6.0833	11.0757	7.7446	
90	13.0721	10.5549	18.3408	13.1682	
95	21.7835	17.2452	26.7491	19.4509	

10% of all member deaths are assumed to be duty-related.



Table B-6

Other Terminations of Employment Among Members Not Eligible to Retire Annual Rates

Years of	
Service	All Members
0	25.0%
1	17.0
2	15.0
3	13.0
4	11.0
5	9.0
6	9.0
7	9.0
8	5.0
9	5.0
10	5.0
11	4.0
12	4.0
13	4.0
14	4.0
15 & Over	3.0



Table B-7

Probability of Retaining Membership in the System
Upon Vested Termination

	D b . b . b . C
	Probability of
	Retaining
Age	Membership
Under 35	30%
5110.01 GG	55,5
35	40
36	40
37	40
38	40
39	40
	. •
40	50
41	50
42	50
43	50
44	50
45	60
46	60
47	60
48	60
49	60
75	00
50 & Over	100
JU & UVEI	100

Family Composition

Female spouses are assumed to be three years younger than males. 100% of non-retired employees are assumed married for both male and female employees. Actual marital characteristics are used for pensioners.

Vested Benefits for Termination Members

Vested benefits for members who terminated during years ending June 30, 2009 and later were estimated based upon compensation and service information in the census data. For members who terminated prior to June 30, 2008, vested benefits valued were the same as had been calculated by the prior actuary for the June 30, 2008 actuarial valuation.



Service credit

- Service credit is used to determine the amount of a member's retirement benefit.
- One month of service credit is earned for each month where the member is paid for 160 hours. This includes certain transferred and purchased service.

Membership service

- Membership service is used to determine eligibility for vesting, retirement or other benefits.
- One month of membership service is earned for any month member contributions are made, regardless of the number of hours worked.
- Eligible members in all systems may purchase service that counts toward membership service.
- Additionally, eligible active and inactive Sheriffs' Retirement System (SRS) members may purchase 1 for 5 (additional) service that will count as membership service.

Contributions

Member contributions are made through an "employer pickup" arrangement which results in deferral of taxes on the contributions.

Compensation

- Compensation generally means all remuneration paid, excluding certain allowances, benefits, and lump sum payments.
 Compensation is specifically defined in law and differs amongst the systems.
- Bonuses paid on or after July 1, 2013 to any member will not be treated as compensation for retirement purposes. No member or employer contributions will be paid on bonuses.

Withdrawal of employee contributions

- A member is eligible for a withdrawal of their contributions when they terminate service and are either not eligible for or have not taken a retirement benefit.
- The member receives the accumulated member contributions, which consists of member contributions and regular interest.
- Upon receipt of a refund of accumulated contributions a member's vested right to a monthly benefit is forfeited.

Member contributions interest credited (regular interest)

- Interest is credited to member accounts at the rates determined by the Board.
- The current interest rate credited to member accounts is 2.02%.



Working Retiree Limitations

Applies to retirement system members who return **on or after** July 1, 2017 to covered employment in the system from which they retired. These limits already applied to SRS members before July 1, 2017.

- Members who return for less than 480 hours in a calendar year:
 - may not become an active member in the system; and
 - are subject to a \$1 reduction in their retirement benefit for each \$3 earned in excess of \$5,000 in the calendar year.
- Members who return for 480 or more hours in a calendar year;
 - must become an active member of the system;
 - will stop receiving a retirement benefit from the system; and
 - will be eligible for a second retirement benefit if they earn 5 or more years of service credit through their second employment.
- Employee, employer and state contributions apply as follows:
 - Employer contributions and state contributions (if any) must be paid on all working retirees;
 - Employee contributions must be paid on working retirees who return to covered employment for 480 or more hours in a calendar year.

NOTE: PERS has its own limits.

Second Retirement Benefit

Applies to retirement system members who return on or after July 1, 2017 to active service covered by the system from which they retired.

- If the member works more than 480 hours in a calendar year and accumulates less than 5 years of service credit before terminating again, the member:
 - o is not awarded service credit for the period of reemployment;
 - is refunded the accumulated contributions associated with the period of reemployment;
 - starting the first month following termination of service, receives the same retirement benefit previously paid to the member: and
 - does not accrue post-retirement benefit adjustments during the term of reemployment but receives a GABA in January immediately following second retirement.



Second Retirement Benefit (continued)

- If the member works more than 480 hours in a calendar year and accumulates at least 5 years of service credit before terminating again, the member:
 - o is awarded service credit for the period of reemployment;
 - starting the first month following termination of service, receives:
 - * the same retirement benefit previously paid to the member; and
 - * a second retirement benefit for the period of reemployment calculated based on the laws in effect as of the member's rehire date; **and**
 - does not accrue post-retirement benefit adjustments during the term of reemployment but receives a GABA:
 - on the initial retirement benefit in January immediately following second retirement; and
 - * on the second retirement benefit starting in January after receiving that benefit for at least 12 months.
- A member who returns to covered service is **not** eligible for a disability benefit.

Refunds

- Terminating members eligible to retire may, in lieu of receiving a monthly retirement benefit, refund their accumulated contributions in a lump sum.
- Terminating members with accumulated contributions between \$200 and \$1,000 who wish to rollover their refund must do so within 90 days of termination of service.
- Trusts, estates, and charitable organizations listed as beneficiaries are entitled to receive only a lump sum payment.

Lump-sum payouts

Effective July 1, 2017, lump sum payouts in all systems are limited to the member's accumulated contributions rather than the present value of the member's benefit.

Type of plan

Multiple-employer cost sharing

Membership eligibility

- Sheriffs
- Investigators (effective July 1, 1993)
- Detention officers (effective July 1, 2005)

Member contributions

10.195% of member's compensation (effective July 1, 2017)



Employer contributions

- 13.115% of each member's compensation (effective July 1, 2017)
- Rate increased 0.29% from 9.535% to 9.825% on July 1, 2007, then to 10.115% on July 1, 2009, and then to present rate 13.115% on July 1, 2017.
- SRS employee contributions will return to 9.245% and SRS employer contributions will return to 9.535% when reducing the employee contribution and terminating the additional employer contributions will not cause the amortization period to exceed 25 years.
- Beginning July 1, 2013, employers of retirees who return to work in a position working less than 480 hours contribute 10.115% of the working retiree's compensation.

Compensation period used in benefit calculation

- HAC = Highest Average Compensation
- Hired prior to July 1, 2011: HAC is average of the highest 36 consecutive months (or shorter period of total service) of compensation paid to member.
- Hired on or after July 1, 2011: HAC is average of the highest 60 consecutive months (or shorter period of total service) of compensation paid to member.
- Hired **on or after** July 1, 2013: 110% annual cap on compensation considered as part of a member's HAC.

Service retirement eligibility and benefit formula

- 20 years of membership service
- 2.5% of HAC x years of service credit

Early retirement eligibility and benefit

- Age 50 with 5 years of membership service
- Normal retirement benefit calculated using HAC and service credit at early retirement, and reduced to the actuarial equivalent commencing at the earliest of age 60 or the attainment of 20 years of service credit.

Disability retirement eligibility and benefit formula

Non-duty-related disability:

- Active or inactive vested member
- 5 years membership service
- The actuarial equivalent of the accrued normal retirement benefit available at time of disability.

Duty-related disability:

- · Vested or non-vested active member
- Any membership service
- Less than 20 years of membership service: 50% of HAC, or
- **20 years or more** of membership service: 2.5% of HAC x years of service credit



Survivor's eligibility and benefit formula

Duty-related death:

- Vested or non-vested active member
- Lump-sum payment of the member's accumulated contributions; or
- A monthly survivor benefit to the designated beneficiary equal to the greater of:
 - o 50% of HAC; or
 - 2.5% of HAC for each year of service credit if over 20 years.

Non-duty-related death:

- Active or Inactive member
- Lump-sum payment of the member's accumulated contributions; **or**
- A monthly survivor benefit equal to 2.5% of HAC for each year of service credit actuarially reduced from age 60 or from the date when 20 years of membership service would have been completed, whichever provides the greater benefit.
- A beneficiary may elect to receive the present value of the benefit as a single lump sum.
- For retired members without a contingent annuitant, a payment will be made to the designated beneficiary equal to the accumulated contributions reduced by any retirement benefits already paid.

Vesting eligibility and benefit

- 5 years of membership service
- Accrued normal retirement benefit, payable when eligible for retirement.
- In lieu of a pension, a member may receive a refund of accumulated contributions.
- Upon receipt of a refund of accumulated contributions, a member's vested right to a monthly benefit is forfeited.

Retirement benefits - Form of payment

Option 1, the normal form of payment is a single life annuity with a refund of any remaining accumulated contributions (account balance) to a designated beneficiary.

Optional Benefits:

- Option 2, a life annuity and joint 100% survivor benefit,
- Option 3, a life annuity and joint 50% survivor benefit, and
- Option 4, a life annuity with a period certain.

If a retiring member selects Option 2 or 3 and the contingent annuitant predeceases or is divorced from the member, the retiree may, with 18 months of the death or divorce, choose to revert to the higher Option 1 benefit available at retirement or the retiree may select a different contingent annuitant and/or a different option.



Post retirement benefit increases

For retired members who have been retired at least 12 months, a Guaranteed Annual Benefit Adjustment (GABA) will be made each year equal to:

- 3% for members hired before July 1, 2007, and
 1.5% for members hired on or after July 1, 2007
- None

Changes since last valuation



Valuation Data

This chart is presented for informational purposes only. The counts shown in the valuation line were used for preparation of the liabilities disclosed within this report. The counts disclosed for the Annual Financial Report and the Summary of Results (page 1) match the CAFR at the request of the Board. The differences between counts, if any, have no material effect upon the liability calculation.

	Active	Disabled	Retirees and Beneficiaries	Terminated Vested Members	Terminated Non-Vested Members	Total
Participant Counts Used for Valuation	1,454	75	651	135	633	2,948
Disabled Members having attained normal retirement age		(46)	46			
Beneficiaries of Disabled Members						
Beneficiaries with less than one year of certain payments remaining						
Other Adjustments						
Participant Counts shown in the Annual Financial Report	1,454	29	697	135	633	2,948



Valuation Data

This valuation is based upon the membership of the System as of June 30, 2019. Membership data was supplied by the System and has been accepted for valuation purposes without audit. However, tests were performed to ensure that the data is sufficiently accurate for valuation purposes.

The salaries used in the tables and charts which follow are different than the salaries used for the Board Summary on page 1. The valuation projected salaries to be paid for the following fiscal year, whereas the Board Summary, salaries are applicable in the year ending on the valuation date.

Active Members	Number	Valuation Projected Salaries
Full-Time Members	1,331	\$ 79,062,643
Part-Time Members	123	\$ 2,364,933
Total Active Members	1,454	\$ 81,427,576

Table D-1 contains summaries of the data for contributing members. For full-time members, values shown in the tables are the numbers of members and their total and average annual salaries. For part-time members, only the numbers of members are shown.

Table D-2 presents distributions of the following:

- Members receiving service retirement benefits.
- Members receiving disability retirement benefits.
- Survivors of deceased retired members receiving benefits.
- Survivors of deceased active members.
- Terminated vested members.

Table D-3 is a reconciliation of membership data from June 30, 2018 to June 30, 2019.



Appendix D: Valuation Data

The following is a summary of retired members and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits. The chart reflects the counts and benefits used for valuation purposes as a result of data processing. Please refer to the chart on page 46 for an explanation of the number of annuitants used for valuation purposes.

Type of Annuitant	Number	ber Annual Benefits			Average Annual Benefits		
Service Retirement	589	\$	17,114,545	\$	29,057		
Survivors of Deceased Retired Members Survivors of Deceased Active	38		713,386		18,773		
Members	24		617,560		25,732		
Total Retirees and Beneficiaries	651	\$	18,445,491	\$	28,334		
Disability Retirement	75		1,886,520		25,154		
Total Annuitants	726	\$	20,332,011	\$	28,006		

Terminated Members with	
Contributions Not Withdrawn	Number
Vested Terminated Members	135
Non-Vested Terminated Members	<u>633</u>
Total Terminated Members	768



Table D-1:
Active Members Distribution of
Full-Time Employees and Salaries
as of June 30, 2019

Number of Employees

completed reals of service													
Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	73	37	18	16									144
25 to 29	39	42	39	48	24	1							193
30 to 34	17	25	31	43	80	19							215
35 to 39	10	16	17	26	54	48	6						177
40 to 44	10	8	5	17	32	49	39	4					164
45 to 49	8	12	5	15	28	36	31	21	3				159
50 to 54	2	4	7	13	26	34	28	10	5				129
55 to 59	3	4	3	9	16	24	12	11	2	2			86
60 to 64			2	3	16	10	9	3	3	1	1	1	49
65 to 69	2		1	1		4	3			1		1	13
70 and up							2						2
Totals	164	148	128	191	276	225	130	49	13	4	1	2	1,331



Table D-1:
Active Members Distribution of
Full-Time Employees and Salaries
as of June 30, 2019

Annual Salaries in Thousands

Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	3,100	1,688	918	841									6,547
25 to 29	1,779	2,128	2,037	2,681	1,311	48							9,984
30 to 34	733	1,279	1,679	2,503	5,203	1,248							12,645
35 to 39	391	797	945	1,516	3,387	3,319	445						10,800
40 to 44	413	408	243	1,018	1,952	3,321	2,948	292					10,594
45 to 49	310	616	260	884	1,708	2,494	2,401	1,851	270				10,794
50 to 54	88	166	341	700	1,567	2,298	1,947	788	395				8,291
55 to 59	122	170	227	414	981	1,451	794	881	162	154			5,358
60 to 64			105	164	945	584	563	196	241	97	61	90	3,046
65 to 69	104		57	72		225	220			147		67	893
70 and up							111						111
Totals	7,040	7,253	6,811	10,792	17,053	14,989	9,430	4,009	1,069	398	61	157	79,063



Table D-1:
Active Members Distribution of
Full-Time Employees and Salaries
as of June 30, 2019

Average Annual Salary

					_								
Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	42,463	45,623	51,016	52,540									45,464
25 to 29	45,604	50,670	52,224	55,863	54,623	47,813							51,729
30 to 34	43,101	51,172	54,165	58,200	65,035	65,701							58,813
35 to 39	39,108	49,799	55,588	58,295	62,722	69,152	74,211						61,018
40 to 44	41,325	51,014	48,579	59,857	60,988	67,769	75,585	73,107					64,600
45 to 49	38,740	51,343	52,007	58,913	60,984	69,274	77,460	88,151	90,053				67,885
50 to 54	44,122	41,484	48,734	53,884	60,252	67,590	69,521	78,847	79,028				64,268
55 to 59	40,739	42,578	75,571	46,029	61,338	60,476	66,188	80,123	81,041	77,208			62,307
60 to 64			52,379	54,554	59,073	58,422	62,580	65,332	80,435	97,133	60,508	90,078	62,164
65 to 69	52,082		56,809	72,161		56,371	73,498			146,872		66,667	68,665
70 and up							55,718						55,718
Totals	42,927	49,005	53,215	56,504	61,786	66,618	72,541	81,825	82,207	99,605	60,508	78,373	59,401



Table D-1:
Active Members Distribution of
Part-Time Employees
as of June 30, 2019

Number of Employees

	Completed 1 date of convice												
Age	0	1	2	3 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40+	Totals
<25	9	2	1										12
25 to 29	10	2		1	2								15
30 to 34	12			1	2	1							16
35 to 39	9	1	1		2	1							14
40 to 44	11	3				1							15
45 to 49	3	3	1	2	1	3	1						14
50 to 54	6	2	2	1		2	1						14
55 to 59	3		1	2	1		1	1					9
60 to 64	1	1		4	2	1							9
65 to 69	1		1	1	1								4
70 and up					1								1
Totals	65	14	7	12	12	9	3	1					123



Table D-2: Distribution of Inactive Lives

The charts reflects the counts and benefits used for valuation purposes as a result of data processing. Please refer to the chart on page 46 for an explanation of the number of annuitants used for valuation purposes.

Members Receiving Service Retirement Benefits as of June 30, 2019

	Number of			Aver	age Annual
Age	Persons	Δn	nual Benefits	F	Benefits
Age	1 0130113		iluai Dellellia		CHCHG
<50	23	\$	823,517	\$	35,805
50 to 54	55		1,570,230		28,550
55 to 59	87		2,458,234		28,256
60 to 64	128		3,674,190		28,705
65 to 69	135		4,187,964		31,022
70 to 74	93		2,561,902		27,547
75 to 79	40		1,284,818		32,120
80 to 84	21		419,434		19,973
85 to 89	4		88,777		22,194
90 and up	3		45,480		15,160
				·	_
Totals	589	\$	17,114,545	\$	29,057

Members Receiving Disability Retirement Benefits as of June 30, 2019

	Number of			Aver	age Annual	
Age	Persons	Anr	nual Benefits	Benefits		
<50	11	\$	339,428	\$	30,857	
50 to 54	9		259,490		28,832	
55 to 59	13		327,654		25,204	
60 to 64	17		431,008		25,353	
65 to 69	13		289,892		22,299	
70 to 74	8		164,972		20,621	
75 to 79	1		22,520		22,520	
80 to 84	1		16,884		16,884	
85 to 89	2		34,671		17,336	
90 and up						
Totals	75	\$	1,886,520	\$	25,154	



Table D-2: Distribution of Inactive Lives

The charts reflects the counts and benefits used for valuation purposes as a result of data processing. Please refer to the chart on page 46 for an explanation of the number of annuitants used for valuation purposes.

Survivors of Deceased Retired Members as of June 30, 2019

	Number of			Avera	age Annual
Age	Persons	Ann	ual Benefits		Benefits
					_
<50	2	\$	21,850	\$	10,925
50 to 54	1		13,667		13,667
55 to 59	2		42,903		21,451
60 to 64	1		62,184		62,184
65 to 69	10		172,023		17,202
70 to 74	5		136,733		27,347
75 to 79	4		57,531		14,383
80 to 84	6		86,373		14,396
85 to 89	7		120,122		17,160
90 and up					
Totals	38	\$	713,386	\$	18,773

Survivors of Deceased Active Members as of June 30, 2019

_	Number of				age Annual
Age	Persons	Ann	Annual Benefits		Benefits
				-	
<50	9	\$	165,527	\$	18,392
50 to 54	1		46,556		46,556
55 to 59	2		98,481		49,241
60 to 64	2		85,935		42,967
65 to 69	3		32,153		10,718
70 to 74	3		102,609		34,203
75 to 79	-		-		-
80 to 84	-		-		-
85 to 89	3		72,908		24,303
90 and up	1		13,392		13,392
Totals	24	\$	617,560	\$	25,732



Table D-2: Distribution of Inactive Lives

The chart reflects the counts and benefits used for valuation purposes as a result of data processing. Please refer to the chart on page 46 for an explanation of the number of annuitants used for valuation purposes.

Terminated Vested Members as of June 30, 2019 Number of Persons

Age	Number
<25	1
25 to 29	3
30 to 34	17
35 to 39	34
40 to 44	25
45 to 49	28
50 to 54	16
55 to 59	5
60 to 64	3
65 to 69	3
70 and above	
Total	135



Table D-3:
Data Reconciliation

The following table shows a reconciliation of the participants used in the previous valuation to this valuation. This chart reflects the counts used for valuation purposes as a result of data processing.

	Active Contributing Members	Terminated Vested Members	Service Retired Members	Disabled Members	Survivors and Beneficiaries
June 30, 2018 Valuation	1,429	129	545	73	63
Refunds and Non-Vested Terminations	(152)	(7)			(2)
Vested Terminations	(25)	26			
Service Retirements	(46)	(7)	53		
Disability Retirements	(2)	(1)		3	
Deaths			(9)	(1)	(1)
New Entrants	230				2
Rehires	20	(7)	(1)		
Other		2	1		
June 30, 2019 Valuation	1,454	135	589	75	62

Appendix E: Comparative Schedules

Comparative Schedules

This section contains tables that summarize the experience of the System shown in present and past valuation reports.

Table E-1 shows a summary of the active members covered as of the various valuation dates.

Table E-2 shows a summary of the retired and inactive members as of the various valuation dates.

Table E-3 summarizes the contribution rates determined by each annual actuarial valuation.



Table E-1:
Active Membership Data

Valuation Date		Annual Salaries in	Average Annual	Average	Average Years of	Average
(June 30)	Actives	Thousands	Salary	Age	Service	Hire Age
2010	1 151	90 464	EE 220	20.6	7.0	22.4
2019	1,454	80,461	55,338	39.6	7.2	32.4
2018	1,429	77,587	54,295	39.8	7.4	32.4
2017	1,415	74,581	52,708	40.0	7.2	33.8
2016	1,364	70,593	51,755	40.1	7.2	32.9
2015	1,336	67,881	50,809	40.3	7.2	33.1
2014	1,307	64,424	49,291			
2013	1,276	60,948	47,765			
2012	1,241	58,281	46,963			



Table E-2: Members in Receipt of Annuities and Inactive Membership Data

				Terminated Members				
Valuation Date (June 30)	Number	Annual Benefits in Thousands	Average Annual Benefit	Average Current Age	Average Age at Retirement	Average Service at Retirement	Number Vested Terminated	Number Non-Vested Terminated
2019	726	20,332	28,006	64.9	53.9	19.3	135	633
2018	681	18,521	27,196	64.9	53.0	18.3	129	539
2017	648	17,153	26,471	64.4	52.8	18.5	108	465
2016	620	16,021	25,840	64.9	54.5	18.3	95	394
2015	577	14,432	25,012	64.2	52.6	18.3	81	342
2014	533	13,044	24,473				73	288
2013	503	12,013	23,883				67	235
2012	469	10,850	23,134				60	212



Table E-3: **Contribution Rates**

Valuation Date		Contribution Rates	Normal	UAAL	
(June 30)			Total	Cost Rate*	Rate**
2019	10.495 %	13.115 %	23.61 %	15.96 %	7.65 %
2018	10.495	13.115	23.61	16.17	7.44
2017	9.245	13.115	23.61	16.49	7.12
2016	9.245	10.115	19.36	18.08	1.28
2015	9.245	10.115	19.36	18.22	1.14
2014	9.245	10.115	19.36	18.46	0.90
2013	9.245	10.115	19.36	18.52	0.84
2012	9.245	10.115	19.36	18.73	0.63

Includes administrative expenses starting with the 2014 Valuation Date
The UAAL rate is the amount available to amortize the UAAL. It is equal to the total contribution rate, minus the normal cost rate.



Appendix F: Financial Statement Information

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2019. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows.

Valuation date	June 30, 2019
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization method	Open
Remaining amortization period	21 Years
Asset valuation method	Four-year smoothed market
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return*	7.65%
General wage growth*	3.50%
Merit salary increases	0.0% - 6.3%
*Includes inflation	2.75%



Gain and Loss in Accrued Liability During Years Ended June 30 Resulting from Differences Between Assumed Experience and Actual Experience Gain or (Loss) for Year Ending June 30, (expressed in thousands) Type of Activity 2016 2017 2018 2019 2014 2015 Investment Income on Actuarial Value of Assets \$ 12,235 \$ 4,869 \$ 2,603 \$ 1,492 \$ (2,426) \$ (1,459) Combined Liability Experience 195 123 (1,892)(2,488)(2,713)(2,114)\$ 712 \$ (996) \$ (5,140) \$ (3,573) (Loss)/Gain During Year from Financial Experience \$ 12,430 \$ 4,992 Non-Recurring Items (12,973)0 \$(13,969) Composite Gain or (Loss) During Year \$ 12,430 \$ 4,992 712 \$ (5,140) (3,573)

Schedule of Funding Progress (expressed in thousands)									
Valuation	Actuarial	Į.	Actuarial	Unfunded				UAAL as a	
Date	Value of		Accrued	Funded				overed	Percentage of
June 30,	Assets	Liability (AAL)		Ratio	(UAAL)		Payroll		Covered Payroll
2019	\$ 377,387	\$	462,698	82%	\$	85,311	\$	80,461	106%
2018	353,904		436,715	81%		82,811		77,587	107%
2017	332,169		411,387	81%		79,217		74,581	106%
2016	310,510		373,146	83%		62,636		70,593	89%
2015	288,269		348,912	83%		60,643		68,046	89%
2014	264,945		326,077	81%		61,132		64,673	95%



Solvency Test Aggregate Accrued Liabilities for (expressed in thousands)											
Valuation Date	Date Contributions Beneficiaries				F	Active Member Imployer inanced Intributions	Actuarial Value of Reported Assets	Portion of Accrued Liability Covered by Reported Assets			
June 30, 2019	\$	(1) 57,884	\$	(2) 279,198	\$	(3) 125,616	\$ 377,387	(1) 100%	(2) 100%	(3) 32%	
2018		55,236		254,965		126,515	353,904	100%	100%	35%	
2017 2016		51,998 47,826		239,648 213,000		119,741 112,321	332,169 310,510	100% 100%	100% 100%	34% 44%	
2016		46,500		193,359		109,053	288,269	100%	100%	44% 44%	
2014		45,595		176,538		103,944	264,945	100%	100%	41%	



Appendix G: Glossary

The following definitions are largely excerpts from a list adopted in 1981 by the major actuarial organizations in the United States. In some cases the definitions have been modified for specific applicability to the Sheriffs' Retirement System. Defined terms are capitalized throughout this Appendix.

Accrued Benefit

The amount of an individual's benefit (whether or not vested) as of a specific date, determined in accordance with the terms of a pension plan and based on compensation and service to that date.

Actuarial Accrued Liability

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is not provided for by future Normal Costs.

Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement, and retirement; changes in compensation, rates of investment earnings, and asset appreciation or depreciation; procedures used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets; and other relevant items.

Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Actuarial Gains and Losses

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

Actuarial Present Value

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application of a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions.

Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a valuation date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a pension plan.

Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan, as used by the actuary for the purpose of an Actuarial Valuation.

Actuarially Equivalent

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date with each value based on the same set of Actuarial Assumptions.



Appendix G: Glossary

Amortization Payment

That portion of the pension plan contribution which is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method

A method under which the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits of each individual included in an Actuarial Valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value not provided for at a valuation date by the Actuarial Present Value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Market Value of Assets

The fair value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan that could be acquired by exchanging them on the open market.

Normal Cost

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

Projected Benefits

Those pension plan benefit amounts which are expected to be paid at various future times under a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions, taking into account such items as the effect of advancement in age and past and anticipated future compensation and service credits.

Unaccrued Benefit

The excess of an individual's Projected Benefits over the Accrued Benefits as of a specified date.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

The excess of the Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.